

## CONTENTS

### **CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The Growing Caseload . . . . .	1
1.2 Possible Ways to Deal with the Caseload . . . . .	3
1.3 The Structure of the Present Study . . . . .	6

### **CHAPTER 2 PROCEDURE**

2.1 Consideration of Communications by the HRC . . . . .	7
2.1.1 Introduction . . . . .	7
2.1.2 An outline of the procedure . . . . .	8
2.1.3 The changing role of the respective organs . . . . .	10
2.1.4 Evidence and burden of proof . . . . .	11
2.1.5 Interim protection . . . . .	14
2.1.6 Review of the decision on admissibility . . . . .	16
2.1.7 Views . . . . .	19
2.2 Examination of Applications by the European Commission . . . . .	23
2.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	23
2.2.2 An outline of the procedure . . . . .	23
2.2.3 The changing role of the respective organs . . . . .	29
2.2.4 Evidence and burden of proof . . . . .	31
2.2.5 Interim protection . . . . .	34
2.2.6 The establishment of a single court in Strasbourg . . . . .	37
2.3 Appraisal . . . . .	38

### **CHAPTER 3 COMPETENCE RATIONE PERSONAE**

3.1 Standing: Individuals, Groups and Organisations . . . . .	41
3.1.1 The Optional Protocol . . . . .	41
3.1.2 The Convention . . . . .	45

3.2 Standing: the Victim-Requirement . . . . .	50
3.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	50
3.2.2 The concrete application of a law or practice . . . . .	51
3.2.3 The risk of being affected by the law or practice is more than a theoretical possibility and poses an actual threat . . . . .	55
3.2.4 The domestic legislation by its mere existence directly violates the petitioner's rights . . . . .	56
3.2.5 The petitioner may no longer claim to be a victim . . . . .	62
3.2.6 Direct and indirect victims . . . . .	69
 3.3 Third Party Involvement . . . . .	71
3.3.1 Third party involvement under the Optional Protocol . . . . .	71
3.3.2 Third party involvement in Strasbourg . . . . .	78
 3.4 State Responsibility . . . . .	87
3.4.1 General . . . . .	87
3.4.2 The HRC and State responsibility . . . . .	90
3.4.3 The European institutions and State responsibility . . . . .	99
 3.5 Appraisal . . . . .	120

#### CHAPTER 4 COMPETENCE RATIONE TEMPORIS

4.1 Introduction . . . . .	123
4.2 Events Prior to the Entry into Force of the Treaty . . . . .	123
4.3 Continuing Violation . . . . .	126
4.4 The Retroactive Effect of Jurisdictional Clauses . . . . .	134
4.5 Appraisal . . . . .	138

#### CHAPTER 5 INADMISSIBILITY RELATED TO THE MERITS

5.1 Introduction . . . . .	139
5.2 Incompatible <i>Ratione Materiae</i> . . . . .	139
5.3 The Allegations are not Substantiated . . . . .	144
5.4 No Appearance of a Violation . . . . .	146
5.5 No Fourth Instance . . . . .	150
5.6 Appraisal . . . . .	152

## **CHAPTER 6 ANONYMOUS AND ABUSIVE COMPLAINTS**

<b>6.1 Anonymous Complaints . . . . .</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>6.2 An Abuse of the Right of Submission . . . . .</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>6.2.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>6.2.2 Abuse proper . . . . .</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>6.2.3 A succession of similar complaints . . . . .</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>6.2.4 Time limit . . . . .</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>6.3 Appraisal . . . . .</b>	<b>170</b>

## **CHAPTER 7 SIMULTANEOUS EXAMINATION UNDER ANOTHER INTERNATIONAL PROCEDURE**

<b>7.1 The HRC . . . . .</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>7.1.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>7.1.2 Another procedure of international investigation or settlement . . . . .</b>	<b>174</b>
<b>7.1.3 The same matter . . . . .</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>7.1.4 Is not being examined . . . . .</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>7.2 The Commission . . . . .</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>7.2.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>7.2.2 A petition which is substantially the same . . . . .</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>7.2.3 Another procedure of international investigation or settlement . . . . .</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>7.2.4 Has already been submitted . . . . .</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>7.3 Appraisal . . . . .</b>	<b>184</b>

## **CHAPTER 8 EXHAUSTION OF DOMESTIC REMEDIES**

<b>8.1 General . . . . .</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>8.2 The Nature of the Remedies which have to be Exhausted . . . . .</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>8.2.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>8.2.2 Available remedies . . . . .</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>8.2.3 Effective remedies . . . . .</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>8.2.4 Sufficient remedies . . . . .</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>8.2.5 Judicial and administrative remedies . . . . .</b>	<b>203</b>

8.3 The Way in which Remedies have to be Exhausted . . . . .	205
8.3.1 General . . . . .	205
8.3.2 The complaint has to be raised in substance . . . . .	206
8.3.3 Doubts about the effectiveness of the remedy . . . . .	210
8.3.4 Procedural mistakes . . . . .	213
8.4 Special Circumstances which might Absolve the Petitioner from Exhausting the Domestic Remedies . . . . .	214
8.4.1 General . . . . .	214
8.4.2 The application of the remedies is unreasonably delayed . . . . .	216
8.5 The Six Months Rule . . . . .	219
8.5.1 Introduction . . . . .	219
8.5.2 The final decision . . . . .	220
8.5.3 Events other than the final decision . . . . .	221
8.5.4 The six months period is set aside . . . . .	224
8.5.5 Delays in the pursuit of the application . . . . .	228
8.6 Appraisal . . . . .	229

## CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS

9.1 The Results of the Research . . . . .	231
9.2 The Way Forward . . . . .	232
9.3 Discretionary Review: Deciding which Cases to Decide . . . . .	234

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	239
---------------------------------	-----

INDEX . . . . .	245
-----------------	-----