

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	xiii
Table of Abbreviations	xv
Table of International Instruments	xix
Table of Judgments and Decisions.....	xxiii
1. International Courts/Commissions.....	xxiii
2. Judgments of National Courts	xxvii
I. Introduction	1
1. Context and Scope of the Study.....	1
2. Methodology.....	5
3. Structure	10
II. The Development of the Relationship between IHL and IHRL and the Role of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim.....	13
1. Introduction.....	13
2. A Brief Overview of the Development of the Relationship between IHL and IHRL.....	13
2.1. The Early Separation of IHL and IHRL.....	13
2.2. The Move towards a Complementary Application of IHL and IHRL.....	15
3. The Function of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim Regulating the Relationship between IHL and IHRL.....	22
3.1. The Rationale of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim.....	22
3.2. The Two (Presumed) Functions of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim	24
3.3. Relationality and Contextuality in the Application of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim and the Omnipresence of General Law.....	25
3.4. The Systemic Objectives of the Law and the Relation of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim to Other Interpretative Methods	29
3.5. Critique of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim	34
4. Concluding Remarks.....	35
III. International Humanitarian Law Applicable to Non- International Armed Conflicts.....	39
1. Introduction	39

2.	A Brief Introduction to the Development of IHL Applicable to Non-International Armed Conflict.....	40
2.1.	The Historical Regulation of Rebellion	40
2.2.	Common Article 3 and Additional Protocol II.....	42
2.3.	More Recent Developments in Treaty and Customary IHL..	43
3.	The Scope of Application of IHL of Non-International Armed Conflicts	46
3.1.	Determining the Existence of a Non-International Armed Conflict and Applicable Rules	46
3.2.	'New' Types of Non-International Armed Conflicts?	50
4.	Purpose, Limits and Principles of IHL Applicable to Non-International Armed Conflicts.....	52
4.1.	Purpose and Limits of IHL	52
4.2.	Humanity	53
4.3.	Military Necessity	53
4.4.	Distinction.....	54
4.4.1.	Distinction between Civilians and Persons Taking Active/Direct Part in Hostilities	55
4.4.2.	Distinction between Military Objectives and Civilian Objects.....	56
4.5.	Proportionality	58
4.6.	Separation of <i>ius ad bellum</i> from <i>ius in bello</i> and the Principle of the Equality of Belligerents	61
5.	Concluding Remarks.....	64
IV.	Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the Notion of Progressive Realisation	67
1.	Introduction.....	67
2.	Art. 2(1) ICESCR: State Obligations to Progressively Realise ESC Rights	68
3.	States' Three-Fold Obligations to <i>Respect, Protect</i> and <i>Fulfil</i> Economic, Social and Cultural Rights	70
4.	The Minimum Core Approach: Minimum Core Obligations and Non-Core Obligations.....	74
4.1.	The Rationale and Potential of the Minimum Core Approach	74
4.2.	Open Conceptual Questions on the Minimum Core Approach	78
4.3.	In Search of a Reasonable Relationship between a Principled Universal Minimum Core and a Pragmatic National Minimum Threshold	82

4.3.1. The Principled International Minimum Core.....	86
4.3.2. The Pragmatic National Minimum Core.....	91
4.4. Non-Core Obligations and their Relationship with the Minimum Core: A Nuanced Priority for Minimum Core Obligations.....	96
4.5. 'Available Resources' within the Meaning of Art. 2(1) ICESCR.....	99
5. The TripleAQ-Framework: Availability, Accessibility, Acceptability and Quality.....	102
5.1. Availability.....	103
5.2. Accessibility.....	104
5.3. Acceptability.....	105
5.4. Quality.....	106
6. Concluding Remarks.....	106
V. Limitations to and Derogations from Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.....	111
1. Introduction.....	111
2. Limitations to Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.....	111
2.1. The CESCR's and States' Unclear Approach to Limitations to Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.....	111
2.2. Article 4 ICESCR: An Analysis of the ICESCR's General Limitation Clause.....	115
2.2.1. The Sole Purpose of Limitations to ESC Rights: The 'Promotion of General Welfare'.....	116
2.2.2. 'In a Democratic Society'.....	120
2.2.3. 'Determined by Law'.....	123
2.2.4. 'Compatible with the Nature of these Rights'.....	124
2.2.5. Proportionality.....	128
2.3. Understanding Article 4 in the Context of the ICESCR as a Whole: The Relationship between Articles 4 and 2(1) ICESCR.....	129
2.3.1. Reasons for Limitations and Retrogressive Measures.....	130
2.3.2. Respect for Minimum Core Rights/Obligations.....	133
2.3.3. In a Democratic Society/in Accordance with the Law.....	134
2.3.4. Proportionality.....	135
2.3.5. Findings from the Comparison.....	135
3. Derogations from the ICESCR.....	137

3.1.	The Purpose of Derogations and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.....	138
3.2.	States' and International Bodies' Approaches to Derogations from Human Rights Treaties that Do Not Contain a Derogation Clause.....	140
3.3.	Findings on Derogations from the ICESCR.....	143
4.	Concluding Remarks.....	146
VI.	Situations of Active Combat: Integrating the Right to Health in IHL Military-Target Decisions	149
1.	Introduction.....	149
2.	'Dual-Use' Objects Qualifying as Military Objectives in IHL and the Right to Health.....	150
3.	The Function of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim in Situations of Active Combat.....	153
4.	Integrating the Right to Health in IHL Military-Target Decisions: The Definition of a 'Military Objective'.....	157
4.1.	The Notion of 'Effective Contribution to Military Action' of an Object by its 'Purpose or Use' and the Right to Health.....	157
4.1.1.	The Debate in IHL.....	158
4.1.2.	Adding ICESCR Obligations to the Debate	162
4.2.	A 'Definite Military Advantage' in IHL and the Right to Health.....	163
4.2.1.	The Meaning of a 'Definite Military Advantage' in IHL.....	163
4.2.2.	Developing Haines' Arguments	165
4.2.3.	Obligations Flowing from the Right to Health	168
4.2.4.	Characteristics of Counterinsurgency Operations and the Notion of a 'Military Advantage'	171
5.	Integrating the Right to Health in IHL Military-Target Decisions: The Principle of Proportionality	173
5.1.	The Debate on the Interpretation of the Principle of Proportionality in IHL.....	174
5.2.	The Right to Health and the IHL Principle of Proportionality	177
5.3.	Responding to Anticipated Objections.....	180
5.3.1.	Overburdening Military Commanders.....	181
5.3.2.	Interference with the Balancing of the Principles of Humanity.....	183

5.3.3. Interference with the Principle of the Equality of Belligerents.....	183
6. Concluding Remarks	186
VII. IHL and the Right to Health: Mitigating the Adverse Public Health Impact of Armed Conflicts	191
1. Introduction.....	191
2. The <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim—Supporting Complementarity.....	192
3. The Right to Health, IHL and the Diversion of Resources from Health Care to Military Spending	194
3.1. The Promotion of General Welfare in a Democratic Society.....	196
3.1.1. The Question of Alternatives.....	196
3.1.2. Protecting General Welfare and Ensuring Non- Discrimination	199
3.2. Proportionality	201
3.3. ‘Compatible with the Nature of these Rights’	202
4. The Protection of the Wounded and Sick and the Possible Scope of Health Services to be Provided in NIACs.....	203
4.1. Personal Scope of Application.....	204
4.1.1. The Protection of the ‘Wounded and Sick’ in IHL.....	204
4.1.2. Persons Covered by the Right to Health in NIACs.....	205
4.2. Obligations to Mitigate Direct Health Consequences of Armed Conflicts	206
4.2.1. Obligations to Search and Collect the Wounded and Sick.....	206
4.2.2. The Scope of Medical Attention and Care to be Provided to Those Suffering from Direct Health Consequences of Armed Conflicts	210
4.3. Obligations to Mitigate Indirect Health Consequences of Armed Conflicts	217
4.3.1. States’ Obligations under IHL to Alleviate Indirect Health Consequences of Armed Conflicts.....	217
4.3.2. Minimum Core Obligations under the Right to Health Addressing Indirect Health Consequences of NIACs.....	218
4.3.3. Mental Health—a Neglected Indirect Health Consequence	223

5.	Medical Personnel, Medical Facilities and Medical Transports.....	224
5.1.	The IHL Definitions of 'Medical Units' and 'Medical Transports'—Specifying Obligations under the Right to Health.....	225
5.2.	The IHL Definition of 'Medical Personnel'—Specification of the Different Health Professionals Needed to Ensure Comprehensive Health Care.....	227
5.3.	Obligations to Promote and Facilitate the Work of Medical Personnel, Units and Transports.....	229
5.4.	The IHL Obligation to Recognise Medical Personnel, Units and Transports—a Restricting Requirement in Situations where Many Medical Tasks are Fulfilled by International Actors.....	233
6.	Concluding Remarks.....	235
VIII.	Humanitarian Assistance.....	239
1.	Introduction.....	239
2.	Obligations to Request and Accept Humanitarian Assistance.....	240
2.1.	'Undue Hardship Threatening the Survival of Civilians' and Obligations Flowing from the Right to Health.....	240
2.2.	'Humanitarian and Impartial Assistance, Conducted without any Adverse Distinction' and Obligations Flowing from the Right to Health.....	245
2.2.1.	'Humanitarian' Assistance in IHL and under the Right to Health.....	246
2.2.2.	'Impartial' Assistance 'Conducted without any Adverse Distinction' in IHL and the Right to Health.....	248
2.3.	A Few More Observations on States' Obligations to Consent to Relief Actions.....	253
3.	Obligations to Facilitate the Work of Humanitarian Organisations and the Right to Control.....	257
3.1.	Protection of International Relief Personnel and Humanitarian Objects.....	258
3.2.	Obligations Relating to the Facilitation of Humanitarian Relief Operations.....	260
3.3.	Rights to Control the Delivery of Humanitarian Assistance.....	264
4.	Concluding Remarks.....	267

IX. Concluding Remarks.....	271
1. The Function of the <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim and Recent Developments in IHL of NIACs and in the Doctrinal Debate about ESC Rights.....	271
2. The Relationship of IHL and ESC Rights: Structured by a Context-Sensitive <i>lex specialis</i> Maxim.....	273
2.1. Easing Tensions between Norms	275
2.2. Promoting Mutual Reinforcement and Complementarity	280
3. Non-State Armed Groups and the Parallel Application of IHL and the ICESCR	289
4. Towards the Operationalisation of this Study's Findings	291
4.1. Human-Rights-Inspired IHL Treaties	292
4.2. Guidelines to Assess the Relationship between IHL and ESC Rights in Different Situations.....	292
Bibliography	297
1. Literature.....	297
2. UN Documents	313
3. Other Documents/Reports	321
Index.....	323