

# Contents

Table of Cases

xiii

## PART I INTRODUCTION

1. The Evolution of the Law of Command Responsibility and the Principle of Legality 3
  - 1.1 The shortcomings of international criminal law and the recognition of command responsibility 3
  - 1.2 A doctrine born in sin—the *Yamashita* precedent 5
  - 1.3 The development of the law of command responsibility and the principle of legality 8
  - 1.4 The ICC and judicial law-making 12
2. The Resurgence of International Criminal Justice and the Rebirth of Command Responsibility 13
3. Command Responsibility under Customary International Law and the Statute of the International Criminal Court 21
  - 3.1 The *ad hoc* tribunals, the ICC and customary international law 21
  - 3.2 Superior responsibility in the ICC Statute 24
    - 3.2.1 Article 28 ICC Statute 24
    - 3.2.2 Disjointed doctrines of superior responsibility 26
    - 3.2.3 Different *mens rea* standards for military and non-military superiors 30
    - 3.2.4 Duty of superiors to take 'necessary and reasonable' measures under the ICC Statute 31
    - 3.2.5 Requirement of causality under the ICC Statute 33

## PART II NATURE AND SCOPE OF APPLICATION OF THE DOCTRINE OF COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY

4. Command Responsibility as a *Sui Generis* Form of Liability for Omission 37
  - 4.1 Liability for omission 37
    - 4.1.1 General remarks—what sort of liability is it? 37

4.1.2	Command responsibility and complicity	39
4.1.3	No liability for personal involvement in the crime, nor a form of strict liability	44
4.1.4	A pre-existing legal duty to act	47
4.1.5	Material ability to prevent or punish crimes	53
4.2	Responsible command	53
4.3	Division of labour between international law and domestic law	56
4.4	Personal dereliction of duty	63
4.4.1	Attributability	63
4.4.2	Duties of commanders and duties of subordinates	65
4.4.3	Duties of commanders and duties of states	69
4.4.4	Gravity of breach of duty	72
4.5	Connection with the underlying offence	74
4.5.1	Relation of superior-subordinate and effective control	74
4.5.2	<i>Mens rea</i>	75
4.5.3	The underlying offence	79
4.5.4	Requirement of causation	82
4.6	Extent of liability and sentencing	89
4.7	Overlap of types of liabilities	94
5.	Scope of Application of the Doctrine of Command Responsibility—International and Internal Conflicts as well as Peacetime?	96
6.	Military Commanders, Civilian Leaders, and Other Superiors, whether <i>De Jure</i> or <i>De Facto</i>	100
6.1	Military commanders	100
6.2	Civilian and other non-military leaders	102
6.2.1	General scope of application of the doctrine of superior responsibility	102
6.2.2	Civilian leaders	103
6.3	Paramilitary commanders and rebel leaders	110
6.3.1	Responsible command and paramilitary leaders	110
6.3.2	Specific evidential challenges	113
6.4	Leaders of terrorist groups	114
6.4.1	Undefined legal status of terrorists under international law	114
6.4.2	Difficulties involved in prosecuting leaders of terrorist outfits	116
6.4.3	Conclusions	121
6.5	<i>De jure</i> superiors and <i>de facto</i> superiors	122
6.6	Several superiors criminally responsible in relation to the same crimes	123

PART III ELEMENTS OF COMMAND  
RESPONSIBILITY AND UNDERLYING OFFENCES

7. General Remarks	129
8. Underlying Offences	131
8.1 Commission of a criminal offence and manner of commission	131
8.2 Perpendicular command responsibility	134
9. A Superior–Subordinate Relationship Between the Accused and Those Who Committed the Underlying Offences	138
9.1 Relationship of subordination	138
9.1.1 An inter-personal relationship	138
9.1.2 <i>De jure</i>	139
9.1.3 <i>De facto</i>	142
9.1.4 Chain of command	146
9.2 ‘Effective control’	156
9.2.1 Definition	156
9.2.2 Parties to that relationship	159
9.2.3 Establishing ‘effective control’	163
9.2.4 Threshold of ‘effective control’ and other forms of authority	182
9.2.5 Relationships of authority in a civilian structure	188
9.3 Requirement of temporal coincidence	190
10. A Culpable State of Mind	193
10.1 General remarks	193
10.1.1 Requirement of knowledge: from <i>Yamashita</i> to the ICC	193
10.1.2 Customary international law and the ICC	194
10.2 Knowledge	197
10.2.1 <i>Raison d’être</i> of the requirement of knowledge	197
10.2.2 Timing of knowledge	198
10.2.3 Knowledge of what?	199
10.2.4 Categories and forms of knowledge	208
10.3 Establishing the required <i>mens rea</i>	213
10.3.1 Indicia of knowledge	213
10.3.2 No imputation of knowledge	216
10.3.3 Information in possession of the superior	217
10.4 Intent not to act despite knowledge	218
10.4.1 Knowledge insufficient	218
10.4.2 Intentional failure to act	219
10.5 Degree of fault	223
10.5.1 No liability without fault	223
10.5.2 Gross negligence	224
10.6 Special intent crimes	226

<b>11. Breach of a Duty and Consequential Failure to Prevent or to Punish Crimes of Subordinates</b>	<b>229</b>
11.1 A dual source of liability—failure to prevent or failure to punish crimes	229
11.1.1 Two distinct duties—to prevent and to punish crimes	229
11.1.2 Duty to prevent	231
11.1.3 Duty to punish	233
11.1.4 Relationship between the two duties	234
11.2 Dereliction of duty	235
11.2.1 General remarks—failure to adopt ‘necessary and reasonable’ measures	235
11.2.2 ‘Necessary’	237
11.2.3 ‘Reasonable’	239
11.2.4 Assessing the propriety of the superior’s conduct	242
11.2.5 Concluding remarks	259
11.3 Seriousness of the breach of duty relevant to superior responsibility	260
11.3.1 Criteria relevant to assess the gravity of the breach	260
11.3.2 Gross violation of duty	260
11.3.3 Disciplinary vs. penal sanctions	262
11.4 Requirement of causality between the failure of the superior and the crimes	263
11.5 Concluding remarks	263

#### PART IV CONCLUSION

<b>12. Concluding Remarks: A Workable Standard of Liability for Superiors</b>	<b>267</b>
<i>Annex: Relevant Provisions</i>	273
<i>Bibliography</i>	285
<i>Index</i>	297