

# Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Foreword</i>  | v         |
| <i>List of Contributors</i>  | xvii      |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>1. The Litigation Landscape of Business and Human Rights</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <i>Robert McCorquodale</i>   |           |
| I. Introduction  | 1         |
| II. Litigating in civil law and common law legal systems   | 3         |
| III. Litigating criminal claims and civil claims   | 5         |
| A. Criminal claims   | 6         |
| B. Civil claims  | 8         |
| IV. Litigating across the intersection of laws   | 11        |
| A. Corporate law   | 11        |
| B. Private international law   | 13        |
| C. Public international law  | 15        |
| V. Litigating in the context of international developments   | 17        |
| VI. Conclusion   | 21        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>2. Perspectives on the Development and Significance of Tort Litigation against Multinational Parent Companies</b> | <b>24</b> |
| <i>Richard Meeran</i>  |           |
| I. Introduction  | 24        |
| II. Genesis of the UK litigation   | 25        |
| III. <i>Connelly v. RTZ</i>  | 26        |
| IV. <i>Thor Chemicals</i>  | 29        |
| V. <i>Cape plc</i>   | 31        |
| VI. The wider significance of <i>Connelly</i> , <i>Thor Chemicals</i> , and <i>Cape plc</i>                          | 37        |
| A. A foundation for the development of a parent company duty of care   | 37        |
| B. Some observations for strategic litigation  | 41        |
| VII. Barriers to justice   | 44        |
| A. <i>Forum non conveniens</i>   | 44        |
| B. Discovery/disclosure  | 45        |
| C. Class actions   | 46        |
| D. Funding   | 46        |
| E. Damages levels  | 48        |
| F. Fear of reprisals   | 48        |
| G. MNC financial rearrangements  | 48        |
| H. MNC instituting legal action in the host State courts   | 49        |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| VIII. Multinational human rights litigation and Business and Human Rights (BHR)                        | 50 |
| IX. Multinational human rights litigation in South African: cross-border collaboration between lawyers | 53 |
| X. Conclusion  | 56 |
| <br>   |    |
| 3. Human Rights Litigation against Multinationals in Practice—Lessons from the United Kingdom          | 58 |
| <i>Daniel Leader</i>   |    |
| I. Introduction  | 58 |
| II. Understanding corporate impunity: the example of the Niger Delta                                   | 59 |
| III. Corporate accountability cases: the English case law  | 61 |
| A. Parent company liability  | 61 |
| B. <i>Thor Chemicals, Connelly v. RTZ, and Lubbe v. Cape Plc</i> [1995–2003]                           | 62 |
| C. <i>Chandler v. Cape plc</i> [2012]  | 64 |
| D. <i>Thompson v. Renwick Group plc</i> [2014]   | 66 |
| E. Developments in parent company liability since 2015   | 66 |
| F. Human rights and security cases   | 74 |
| G. Supply and value chain cases  | 77 |
| IV. Factors relevant to the claims against multinationals  | 78 |
| A. Jurisdiction and <i>forum non conveniens</i>  | 78 |
| B. Discovery and group actions   | 80 |
| C. Practical challenges, security, and claimant/witness anonymity                                      | 81 |
| D. Costs and funding   | 82 |
| V. Conclusion  | 83 |
| <br>   |    |
| 4. Multinational Company Litigation—South Africa   | 85 |
| <i>Jason Brickhill and Zanele Mbuyisa</i>  |    |
| I. Introduction  | 85 |
| II. Jurisdiction and applicable law  | 88 |
| A. The hierarchy of the courts   | 88 |
| B. Jurisdiction  | 88 |
| C. Foreign <i>peregrini</i> and juristic persons   | 90 |
| D. Arbitration   | 91 |
| III. Causes of action  | 92 |
| A. Constitutional claims   | 92 |
| B. The constitutional impact on the common law   | 94 |
| C. Common law claims: delict, contract, and unjustified enrichment                                     | 94 |
| D. Statutory claims  | 97 |
| IV. Access to justice and legal representation   | 97 |
| A. Availability of legal representation  | 97 |
| B. Right to civil legal aid and availability   | 98 |
| V. Prescription and time bars  | 98 |
| A. Prescription  | 98 |
| B. The approach of the courts when there is a conflict of laws   | 99 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| VI. Class actions  | 100 |
| VII. Discovery   | 104 |
| A. Ordinary discovery process  | 104 |
| B. E-discovery or Electronically Stored Information (ESI)                                      | 104 |
| VIII. Damages  | 106 |
| A. Patrimonial and non-patrimonial loss  | 106 |
| B. Forms of damages  | 106 |
| C. Transmissibility of damages   | 107 |
| IX. Funding and costs  | 107 |
| A. Litigation funding  | 108 |
| B. Legal costs   | 109 |
| X. Enforcement of judgments  | 109 |
| XI. Conclusion   | 111 |
| <br>   |     |
| 5. Liability of Multinational Corporations in Canada for International Human Rights Violations | 113 |
| <i>Bruce W. Johnston</i>   |     |
| I. Introduction  | 113 |
| II. Canadian legal context   | 114 |
| III. Jurisdictional issues   | 115 |
| A. Subject matter jurisdiction in common law provinces   | 115 |
| B. Subject matter jurisdiction in Quebec civil law   | 117 |
| C. <i>Forum non conveniens</i>   | 119 |
| D. Forum of necessity  | 123 |
| E. Choice of law   | 126 |
| IV. Justiciability issues  | 127 |
| A. Sovereign immunity  | 127 |
| B. Act of State  | 128 |
| V. Causes of action  | 128 |
| A. Causes of action in common law provinces  | 128 |
| B. Causes of action in Quebec civil law  | 130 |
| VI. Corporate issues   | 131 |
| A. Piercing the corporate veil in common law provinces   | 131 |
| B. Piercing the corporate veil in Quebec civil law   | 133 |
| C. The direct liability of the parent company in common law provinces                          | 134 |
| D. The direct liability of the parent company in Quebec civil law                              | 135 |
| VII. Financial issues  | 136 |
| A. Costs regimes and security for costs  | 136 |
| B. Legal fees  | 137 |
| C. Litigation funding  | 137 |
| D. Availability of damages   | 137 |
| VIII. Procedural issues  | 138 |
| A. Discovery   | 138 |
| B. Availability of class proceedings   | 138 |
| IX. Conclusion   | 138 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>6. Civil Liability in Australia for International Human Rights Violations</b>                                  | <b>140</b> |
| <i>Peter Cashman</i>  |            |
| I. Introduction   | 140        |
| II. Developments in English law   | 144        |
| III. Developments in Australian law   | 147        |
| IV. Discovery and preliminary discovery of documents  | 149        |
| V. The involvement of Australian companies in human rights abuses and environmental damage in other jurisdictions | 150        |
| VI. Procedural mechanisms for obtaining redress in Australia  | 157        |
| VII. Legal costs  | 159        |
| VIII. Adverse costs, security for costs, and litigation funding   | 159        |
| IX. Confidentiality constraints   | 161        |
| X. Non-litigious strategies   | 162        |
| XI. Conclusion  | 163        |
| <b>7. International Human Rights Litigation in the United States</b>  | <b>168</b> |
| <i>Paul Hoffman</i>   |            |
| I. Introduction   | 168        |
| II. Alien Tort Statute (ATS)  | 169        |
| A. Possible ATS claims  | 170        |
| B. Theories of liability  | 171        |
| C. Presumption against extraterritoriality  | 173        |
| D. Corporate liability  | 174        |
| E. <i>Doe v. Nestle</i> and the Trump Administration position   | 175        |
| III. Torture Victims Protection Act (TVPA)  | 176        |
| A. Exhaustion of remedies   | 177        |
| B. Statute of Limitations   | 178        |
| IV. Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPRA)  | 178        |
| V. Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA)  | 180        |
| VI. Anti-Terrorism Act (ATA)  | 182        |
| VII. RICO   | 184        |
| VIII. Environmental statutes  | 185        |
| IX. Common law claims   | 185        |
| X. State statutory claims   | 188        |
| XI. Defences to corporate accountability claims   | 188        |
| A. Personal jurisdiction  | 189        |
| B. <i>Forum non conveniens</i>  | 189        |
| C. Political question doctrine  | 191        |
| D. International comity   | 192        |
| E. Act of State doctrine  | 193        |
| XII. Access to justice in US courts   | 194        |
| A. Class actions  | 195        |
| B. Discovery  | 196        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| C. Enforcement of US judgments  | 197        |
| D. Settlement   | 198        |
| XIII. Conclusion  | 199        |
| <b>8. Foreign Direct Liability of Multinational Corporations in the Dutch Legal Order</b> | <b>201</b> |
| <i>Channa Samkalden</i>   |            |
| I. Introduction   | 201        |
| II. Corporate criminal liability  | 203        |
| A. Jurisdiction   | 204        |
| B. Boundaries of criminal liability of corporations                                       | 205        |
| III. Civil liability  | 207        |
| A. Applicable law   | 207        |
| B. Jurisdiction   | 208        |
| C. Article 6:162 DCC  | 209        |
| D. (Child Labour) Due Diligence Law   | 212        |
| E. Alternative legal grounds  | 213        |
| F. Direct reliance on the ECHR  | 214        |
| IV. Collective action   | 214        |
| A. Admissibility in the <i>Milieudefensie</i> and <i>Trafigura</i> cases                  | 215        |
| B. The new Act on collective damages in class actions                                     | 217        |
| V. Limitation   | 220        |
| VI. Damages   | 221        |
| VII. Evidence   | 223        |
| A. Rules on disclosure  | 224        |
| B. Witnesses and witness protection mechanisms  | 225        |
| VIII. Legal costs and funding   | 227        |
| A. Legal costs  | 227        |
| B. Funding  | 228        |
| IX. Conclusion  | 229        |
| <b>9. Human Rights Litigation against Multinational Companies in France</b>               | <b>230</b> |
| <i>Sandra Cossart and Lucie Chatelain</i>   |            |
| I. Introduction   | 230        |
| II. Overview of some existing legal avenues under French law                              | 231        |
| A. Criminal law   | 231        |
| B. Consumer law   | 236        |
| C. Tort law   | 238        |
| D. Labour law   | 240        |
| E. Section II conclusion  | 241        |
| III. The duty of vigilance: a new legal basis in civil liability cases                    | 241        |
| A. The creation of a corporate duty of vigilance  | 242        |
| B. Judicial enforcement mechanisms  | 243        |
| C. Some unresolved legal issues   | 245        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| IV. Procedural barriers   | 248        |
| A. Access to evidence   | 248        |
| B. Legal standing of NGOs   | 250        |
| C. Collective actions   | 251        |
| D. Rules on costs   | 252        |
| V. Conclusion   | 253        |
| <b>10. Human Rights Litigation against Multinational Companies<br/>in Germany</b>     | <b>254</b> |
| <i>Miriam Saage-Maaß</i>  |            |
| I. Introduction   | 254        |
| II. Jurisdiction  | 255        |
| III. Applicable law   | 256        |
| IV. Substantive legal basis in the German law of delict                               | 258        |
| A. Section 823(I) BGB   | 258        |
| B. Section 823(II) BGB  | 261        |
| C. Section 1004 BGB and <i>Lliaya v. RWE</i>  | 262        |
| D. Corporate liability and its relevance in transborder human<br>rights               | 263        |
| V. Practical and procedural factors   | 268        |
| A. Discovery and burden of proof  | 268        |
| B. Collective actions   | 272        |
| C. Limitation periods   | 272        |
| D. The damages awarded to the victims and the costs of civil<br>proceedings           | 273        |
| VI. Conclusion  | 277        |
| <b>11. Multinational Human Rights Litigation from the Perspective<br/>of Business</b> | <b>278</b> |
| <i>Rae Lindsay</i>  |            |
| I. Introduction   | 278        |
| II. What is ‘multinational human rights litigation’?                                  | 279        |
| III. Multinational human rights litigation—what are its<br>particular challenges?     | 288        |
| IV. The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human<br>Rights (UNGP)                  | 297        |
| V. Implications of the UNGP for multinational human<br>rights litigation              | 300        |
| A. State regulatory intervention  | 300        |
| B. Publicly stated commitments by business and their<br>implementation                | 301        |
| C. ‘Legalisation’ of the responsibility to respect                                    | 303        |
| D. Grievance mechanisms   | 309        |
| E. Conduct of litigation  | 311        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| VI. The future: regulation, legal liability models, and multinational human rights litigation                            | 314        |
| VII. Conclusion  | 318        |
| <b>12. Litigation Funding: Practical Aspects</b>   | <b>320</b> |
| <i>Susan Dunn and Felix Curtis</i>   |            |
| I. Introduction  | 320        |
| II. Legality of third party funding arrangements   | 321        |
| A. The doctrines of maintenance and champerty  | 321        |
| B. The importance of control   | 322        |
| III. Which cases will a litigation funder fund?  | 322        |
| A. The ability of the defendant to pay the amount being claimed  | 322        |
| B. The realistic minimum value of the claim  | 325        |
| C. Amount of funding required  | 326        |
| D. Strengths and weaknesses of the legal claim   | 327        |
| IV. Particular considerations in claims against multinationals   | 327        |
| A. Provision for the likelihood of the defendant's vigorous defence of the claims  | 327        |
| B. Jurisdiction, applicable law, and the effect of those on the recoverability of funder's fees                          | 328        |
| C. Recovery of the funder's fee more generally   | 328        |
| V. Overall commercial viability assessment of a case from the funder's perspective and typical pricing terms for funding | 329        |
| A. Book building   | 329        |
| B. Adverse costs   | 331        |
| VI. What to look for in a funder   | 331        |
| VII. Options for lawyers to risk share—legal frameworks in various jurisdictions   | 332        |
| A. England and Wales   | 332        |
| B. Canada  | 333        |
| C. The United States   | 335        |
| D. Australia   | 336        |
| E. Germany   | 336        |
| F. France  | 337        |
| G. Netherlands   | 337        |
| H. South Africa  | 337        |
| VIII. Case studies   | 338        |
| A. <i>Indonesian seaweed farmers v. PTTEP Australasia</i>  | 338        |
| B. <i>Children and Women of the Kabwe District of Zambia v. Anglo American South Africa Ltd</i>                          | 339        |
| IX. Conclusion   | 340        |
| <br><i>Index</i>   | <br>341    |