

CONTENTS

	Pages
Preface	v
(1) THE BURDEN OF PROOF AND STANDARDS OF PROOF IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ENGLISH LAW AND A CODIFIED ASIAN SYSTEM	1 - 48
I. THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF THE LAW	01
II. THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN RESPECT OF EXCULPATORY AND MITIGATORY PLEAS	02
(a) The English Common Law	02
(b) The “burden of proving” general and special exceptions in Sri Lanka	07
(c) The scope of “general or Special exceptions”	09
(d) A relevant classification of defences in the setting of the two burdens	16
(e) The English Common Law and Sri Lankan Law contrasted	18
III. THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN REGARD TO FACTS PECULIARLY WITHIN THE KNOWLEDGE OF ONE OF THE PARTIES	19
IV. VARYING STANDARDS OF PROOF	27
(a) Proof of the essential elements of liability by the prosecution	27
(b) Proof of collateral facts by the prosecution	29
(c) The Establishment of a defence not vitiating one or more of the requirements of liability	30
(d) The Establishment of a defence inconsistent with one or more of the requirements of liability	31
(e) Invocation by the prosecution of a proviso defeating an exception pleaded by the accused	32
(f) Rebuttal by the accused of a presumption equivalent to proof of an ingredient of the offence	32

(i)	<i>Proof on a balance of probabilities, English law Sri Lankan, Indian and Malaysian Law</i>	36
(ii)	<i>The raising of a reasonable doubt</i>	38
(g)	Discharge of the evidential burden by the prosecution	42
VI.	SHIFTING OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF	43
(a)	The legal burden	43
(b)	The evidential burden	44
(c)	Evaluation of the terminology	44
VI.	CONCLUSION	45
(2)	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OBTAINED ILLEGALLY: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	49 - 88
I.	INTRODUCTION	49
II.	ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES	50
(a)	Proposition One: If evidence is relevant, it cannot be excluded on the ground that it was obtained by illegal action.	50
(b)	Proposition Two: If evidence is obtained by illegal action, it is never admissible	56
(c)	Proposition Three: The trial Judge should have discretion whether or not to admit evidence obtained illegally	62
III.	FACTORS GOVERNING THE EXERCISE OF DISCRETION BY THE COURTS	64
IV.	THE RELATION BETWEEN THE CONFESSION RULE AND THE EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE OBTAINED BY ILLEGAL MEANS	80
V.	CONSIDERATIONS OF POLICY MILITATING AGAINST THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE	85
VI.	CONCLUSION	88

(3)	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CONFESSIONS IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE LAW OF SRI LANKA AND ENGLAND	89 - 141
I.	THE DEFINITION OF A CONFESSION	89
II.	THE VOLUNTARY CHARACTER OF CONFESSIONS	94
III.	CONFESSIONS MADE TO POLICE OFFICERS	114
IV.	CONFESSIONS MADE WHILE THE ACCUSED IS IN POLICE CUSTODY	118
V.	THE DOCTRINE OF CONFIRMATION BY SUBSEQUENTLY DISCOVERED FACTS	121
VI.	THE SCOPE OF EXCLUSIONARY RULES IN RESPECT OF CONFESSIONS	129
VII.	POLICY OBJECTIVES OF THE LAW GOVERNING CONFESSIONS	131
(4)	AN ACCUSED PERSON'S PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ENGLISH, NEW ZEALAND AND SOUTH ASIAN LEGAL SYSTEMS	142 - 173
I.	INTRODUCTION	142
II.	THE PRIVILEGE IN THE CONTEXT OF POLICE INVESTIGATIONS AND SIMILAR SITUATIONS	144
III.	THE RELEVANCE OF THE PRIVILEGE IN THE CONTEMPORARY CONTEXT	150
IV.	APPLICABILITY OF THE PRIVILEGE TO THE PRODUCTION AND DISCOVERY OF DOCUMENTS	152
V.	STATUTORY ABROGATION OF THE PRIVILEGE IN EXTRA-JUDICIAL CONTEXTS	154
VI.	CONSEQUENCES OF INVOCATION OF THE PRIVILEGE BEFORE TRIAL	155
VII.	EFFECT OF EXERCISE OF THE PRIVILEGE IN COURT	163
VIII.	CONCLUSION	171

(5)	UNSWORN STATEMENTS BY ACCUSED PERSONS: TRENDS IN THE COMMONWEALTH	174 - 195
I.	THE RELATION BETWEEN UNSWORN STATEMENTS AND THE COMPETENCE OF THE ACCUSED AS A WITNESS	174
II.	THE APPROPRIATE TIME FOR MAKING AN UNSWORN STATEMENT	178
III.	UNSWORN STATEMENTS AND THE RETAINING OF COUNSEL	179
IV.	UNSWORN STATEMENTS AND THE ADDUCING OF EVIDENCE	179
V.	THE VALUE OF UNSWORN STATEMENTS	183
VI.	RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS OF POLICY	190
(6)	THE RULE AGAINST HEARSAY AND THE DOCTRINE OF RES GESTAE: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SOUTH AFRICAN, ENGLISH AND SRI LANKAN LAW	196 - 234
I.	THE RULE AGAINST HEARSAY	196
II.	THE DOCTRINE OF RES GESTAE	200
	Statements accompanying and explaining Relevant Acts	201
	Spontaneous Exclamations	210
	Acts or declarations forming part of the Same Transaction	222
	Acts and statements reflecting a State of Mind or Emotion	225
	Statement indicative of Physical Sensation	232
	The Admission of Hearsay in the context of Expert Opinion	233
III.	CONCLUSION	233
(7)	EVIDENCE OF SYSTEM IN COMMONWEALTH LAW	235 - 287
I.	THE FOUNDATIONS OF THE LAW	235
II.	THE CONCEPT OF 'SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE'	237
III.	THE CONCEPTS OF 'RELEVANCE' AND 'ADMISSIBILITY'	255

(a)	Exclusion of Evidence Relating to Other Instances on the Ground of Irrelevance	255
(b)	'Relevance' Distinguished from 'Admissibility'	258
(c)	Multiple Connotations of Relevance	262
IV.	PROOF OF THE ACTUS REUS	269
V.	CATEGORIES OF SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE	273
(a)	Proof of the International Quality of the Accused's Act	274
(b)	Rebuttal of a Plea of Ignorance or Mistake of Fact	274
(c)	Refutation of an Innocuous Explanation as to Purpose	277
(d)	Negation of a Plea of False Identification	277
(e)	Rebuttal of the defence of Innocent Association	278
VI.	EXCLUSIONARY DISCRETION	283
VII.	CONCLUSION	285
(8)	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE CHARACTER OF THE ACCUSED IN CRIMINAL TRIALS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SRI LANKAN AND ENGLISH LAW	288 - 333
I.	THE STRUCTURAL FRAMEWORK OF SRI LANKAN LAW AND ENGLISH LAW	288
II.	THE DEFINITION OF "CHARACTER"	293
III.	THE SCOPE OF THE RULE EXCLUDING EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER	298
IV.	CASES WHERE THE BAD CHARACTER OF THE ACCUSED IS A FACT IN ISSUE	302
V.	CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE BAD CHARACTER OF THE ACCUSED IS A RELEVANT FACT	304

VI.	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER IN REBUTTAL IN CASES WHERE THE GOOD CHARACTER OF THE ACCUSED HAS BEEN PUT IN ISSUE	309
(a)	The Advantage Accruing to the Accused from Adducing Evidence of Good Character	309
(b)	Putting in issue the Good Character of the Accused	311
(c)	The Purpose of Leading Evidence of Bad Character in Rebuttal	313
(d)	Permissible Modes of Adducing Evidence of Bad Character in Rebuttal	313
(e)	The Indivisibility of Character	315
(f)	The Propriety of a Conviction Notwithstanding Unwarranted Reception of Evidence of the Accused's Bad Character	316
VII.	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER IN REBUTTAL IN CASES WHERE THE CHARACTER OF THE PROSECUTOR OR OF A PROSECUTION WITNESS HAS BEEN ASSAILED	318
VIII.	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER IN REBUTTAL IN CASES WHERE THE CHARACTER OF A CO-ACCUSED HAS BEEN ASSAILED	329
IX.	RECEPTION OF EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER AFTER THE VERDICT	331
X.	CONCLUSION	332
9)	CORROBORATION IN JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS:	
A.	THE TESTIMONY OF ACCOMPLICES	334 - 373
I.	THE DOCTRINAL FOUNDATIONS OF ROMAN-DUTCH LAW AND ENGLISH LAW CONTRASTED	334
II.	THE EVIDENCE OF ACCOMPLICES	342
(i)	The Scope of the Cautionary Rule	342
(ii)	The Definition of an "Accomplice"	348

(iii)	Respective Functions of Judge and Jury	354
(iv)	Distinguishable Categories of Witnesses	355
(a)	<i>Police Traps</i>	355
(b)	<i>Private Detectives</i>	360
(c)	<i>Co-Accused Testifying in Their Defence</i>	361
(v)	The Content of Corroboration	362
(a)	<i>The Basic Test</i>	363
(b)	<i>Some Particular Points</i>	366
III.	AN OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE EXISTING LAW	370
(9)	CORROBORATION IN JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS:	
	B. COMPLAINANTS IN SEXUAL CASES, CHILDREN	
	AND MORAL DELINQUENTS	374 - 413
	I. SEXUAL MISCONDUCT	374
(i)	Criminal Proceedings	374
(1)	<i>The status of the Rule as to Corroboration</i>	374
(2)	<i>The Extent of Corroboration</i>	377
(ii)	Civil Proceedings	382
(1)	<i>The Delictual Action for Seduction</i>	382
(2)	<i>The Action for Maintenance</i>	383
(3)	<i>Matrimonial Causes</i>	385
II.	THE EVIDENCE OF CHILDREN	388
III.	OTHER SITUATIONS	394
(i)	The Taint of Infamia	394
(ii)	Confessions	395
(iii)	Identification	397
(iv)	Claims to the Property of Deceased Persons	399
IV.	THE NATURE OF CORROBORATION	399
(a)	The Behaviour or Statements of the Person Whose Testimony is Sought to be Corroborated	400

(b)	The Conduct or Statements of the Persons, the Evidence against Whom Needs Corroboration	402
(i)	<i>Admissions</i>	402
(ii)	<i>Silence</i>	403
(iii)	<i>Mendacity</i>	408
(iv)	<i>Similar Conduct</i>	410
V.	CONCLUSION	411
(10)	PRIVILEGE IN COMMONWEALTH LAW	
A.	LEGAL PROFESSIONAL PRIVILEGE	414 - 448
I.	RATIONALE AND STRUCTURAL FRAMEWORK OF THE LAW	414
II.	COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN CLIENT AND LEGAL ADVISER	417
III.	COMMUNICATIONS WITH THIRD PARTIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF LITIGATION	422
A.	The Degree of Probability of Litigation	422
B.	The Criterion of Purpose	423
C.	Plurality of Purposes	423
IV.	THE NATURE AND DIMENSIONS OF THE PRIVILEGE	433
A.	Professional Privilege: Its Incidence and Purview	433
B.	The Requisite of Confidentiality	435
C.	Secondary Evidence of Documents Governed by the Privilege	437
V.	PROFESSIONAL PRIVILEGE IN THE SETTING OF SEARCH AND PRE-TRIAL DISCOVERY	440
VI.	EXCEPTIONS TO APPLICABILITY OF THE PRIVILEGE	443
A.	Waiver of the Privilege	443
B.	Perpetration of a Crime or Fraud	445
C.	Statutory Abrogation of the Privilege	446
VII.	CONCLUSION	446

B. MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL PRIVILEGE	449 - 480
I. INTRODUCTION	449
II. OBJECTIVES OF SOCIAL POLICY	451
A. The Logical Basis for Denial of Professional Privilege in Civil Proceedings	452
B. Equitable and Policy Factors Relevant to Recognition of Medical Professional Privilege	453
C. Alternative Approaches: Discretion and Privilege	456
D. The Dichotomy between Civil and Criminal Proceedings	459
E. Problems of Definition and Scope in Respect of the Recipient of the Communication	461
F. The Cultural and Sociological Context	463
G. Current Attitudes to the Interpretation of Statutory Privileges	464
III. THE DIMENSIONS OF MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL PRIVILEGE	465
A. The Duration of the Privilege	465
B. A Contractual Nexus and Reposing of Confidence	465
C. Limiting Criteria Predicated on Purpose	467
D. "Communication" and "Information" : Tasmanian, Victorian and New Zealand Law Compared	469
E. Applicability of the Privilege to Interrogatories	471
IV. WAIVER OF THE PRIVILEGE	471
A. Legal Effect of Waiver	471
B. Express and Implied Waiver	472
C. Entitlement to Waive the Privilege	473
V. COMPETING THEORETICAL APPROACHES	475
VI. CONCLUSION	478

(C) CROWN PRIVILEGE	481 - 520
I. INTRODUCTION	481
II. THE JURIDICAL CHARACTER OF THE DOCTRINE OF EXCLUSION	481
III. THE SCOPE OF THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE	482
IV. RESPONSIBILITY FOR DETERMINING THE ISSUE OF PUBLIC INTEREST	495
V. TECHNIQUES FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF THE EXCLUSIONARY DOCTRINE	504
VI. FACTORS CONDITIONING THE EXERCISE OF JUDICIAL DISCRETION	509
VII. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS APPLICABLE TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	516
VIII. PROCEDURAL ASPECTS	518
IX. CONCLUSION	519